

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111133
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Mathematics Teaching Based on VR in China
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?   6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, this manuscript adopted literature analysis methods to read, summarize, and sort the previously existing research literature on VR-based mathematics teaching in China.</p> <p>Yes, this research discusses about VR-based mathematics teaching in various aspects from various points of view through the theoretical and practical application of VR technology in secondary schools and universities.</p> <p>Yes, it is. However, it needs to be completed and clarified in more depth, justification of research methods and results from research that are more accurate qualitatively and quantitatively, accompanied by conclusions and suggestions for future development.</p> <p>Yes, there are according to the format of the manuscript provisions.</p> <p>Yes. Because, it is written systematically, then based on logical reasoning so that what the author writes is in accordance with common sense. However, the writing of this manuscript needs to be supported by objective data through data testing that has been proven empirically and objectively. Then, it needs to be strengthened with correct, valid and relevant theoretical arguments. And there is a relationship between empirical and theoretical arguments.</p> <p>Yes, there are. The existing references are quite up to date, but there is one reference that is less than 10 years old so it needs to be updated again and more relevant reference articles need to be added.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, It is.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuniana Cahyaningrum
Department, University & Country	IKIP, Indonesia