# **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_111927
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON PLANT TISSUE CULTURE
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is much important based on their described methodology as prescribed by the professionals. But the Title of the referenced manuscript is quite huge, Tissue culture title should be classified in to many sub title, which will give a clear sense.	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	2. In case of sub title may suitable and will give a clear sense.	
(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	3. No, it should be improve, especially the last part of abstract, where described the result section.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	<ul><li>4. The abstract need to be improve, there is no result and discussion, which is the important part of the manuscript and article.</li><li>5. Need to serious major revision.</li></ul>	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	6. The references are not arranged in APA style at the end, and also not in A→ Z sequence. Should be in APA style and A→ Z format.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	7. The Author did not put the result and discussion, need to put both of these section to be improve and suitable for publication. Although he has described the advantages and disadvantages of the tissue culture in the manuscript, but disadvantages are much more than advantages. Then why need to publish the article. The advantages should be more than disadvantages for the references crops in the agriculture disciplines especially in those countries which have advance technologies and scientific records.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript need to improve the technical writing as well as English grammar and sequence of the sentences.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

## **Review Form 1.7**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Bakht Munir Baber
Department, University & Country	Nangarhar University, Afghanistan

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)