

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_126803
Title of the Manuscript:	TYPE 1 DIABETES (T1D) IN CHILDREN UNDER 5 YEARS OF AGE: MULTICENTRIC STUDY OF 22 CASES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is interesting for investigating the clinical characteristics of type 1 diabetes in children less than 5 years of age that is rising worldwide.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes and the authors are advised to add statistical analysis section and make the results section separate from discussion.	Done
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors are advised to discuss Type 1 diabetes Exchanges study data. Discuss neurocognitive consequences of early onset type 1 diabetes. Discuss the findings of DirectNet research group and the altered brain structure and function in that age group of T1D.	We include the risk factors associated with potential suboptimal cognitive and fine motor development in children
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I recommend accept with minor changes as stated above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	