

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124391
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Bacillus subtilis against aerial blight disease of stevia crop (Stevia rebaudiana caused by Rhizoctonia solani kuhn)
Type of the Article	Technical article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript requires substantial revision due to the absence of technical content.	Okay.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. There is a need for some modification following the integration of technology.	Okay. I have changed the title.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1.The technical algorithm's evaluation parameters are lacking from the abstract.	Okay . done.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No.	Okay.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It would be more beneficial for the author to incorporate additional relevant work in this field as a subsection following the introduction.2. There should be a brief discussion regarding the dataset. There was no evidence discovered regarding the dataset.3. Similar to the suggested model, the research does not include technical models or algorithms.4. The evidence of performance evaluation parameters is also included in the result section.	I have tried to change it again.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent references are not included in the reference section.	Okay . done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	Okay, thank you.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		No.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No. Thank you for your effort and time.