

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_120625
Title of the Manuscript:	On top local homology modules
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is of importance to the scientific community. Because this I think is a breakthrough in ring theory Determine the relevant prime numbers of the top local homology module of A for the unique maximal ideal of the ring R.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is the article title appropriate to the content presented by the author	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive because it covers the content of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The appropriate subsections and structure of the manuscript accurately express the content of the article.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is scientifically correct Because here the author has given definitions and lemmas to prove the applied theorem give top local homology modules	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References are complete for research.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English of the article is suitable for academic communication purposes	
Optional/General comments	Comment: The article the author presents is scientific in content, but to make it better, I recommend adding a conclusion to the article.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ly Van An
Department, University & Country	Vietnam