## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_126186
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE AND PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF CUCUMBER (CUCUMIS SATIVUS L.CV PIPINO) AND WATERMELON (CITRULLUS LANATUS (THUNB.) MATSUM AND NAKAI CV RED.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

## **Review Form 3**

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific	1. Introduction:	
community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A taxonomical description of Cucumis sativus and Citrullus lanatus is recommended.	
Is the title of the article suitable?		
(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do	2. Materials and method:	
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	This section is well written. However, providing the formula for the calculation of moisture content and ash content is recommended.	
	Mention the reference for quantitative analysis of saponins.	
	Mention the reference for qualitative analysis of tannin.	
	Mention the reference for qualitative analysis of glycosides.	
	Mention the reference for qualitative analysis of oxalates.	
	The <b>method of statistical analysis used for this study</b> is recommended to be mentioned at the end of this section.	
	at the end of this section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the	3. Results:	
scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mentioning the results of the quantitative analysis of phytochemicals in the table format is recommended.	
	4. Discussion:	
	This section is well written.	
	5. Conclusion:	
	For this section, a compilation of the aims and objectives and findings of this study is recommended.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

## **Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	
Optional/General comments	

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Suchandra Dutta
Department, University & Country	University of Calcutta, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)