

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127040
Title of the Manuscript:	Instructional Practices And Learning Metaphors Of Language Teachers In Public Secondary Schools
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important to the scientific community because it reveals teacher learning practices and metaphors that impact teaching effectiveness. These findings are useful for policy makers to support teacher professional development and improve the quality of education in the future.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article suitable, but maybe you could add "influence or correlation" between the sections in the research. example: "Correlation of instructional practices and learning metaphors among language teachers in public secondary schools"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Briefly and clearly describe the results of this research, including the specific correlation values, then add the conclusions of your analysis. What are the implications of this research and what is its concrete implementation?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Customize with the journal template.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically sound because it uses a non-experimental correlational research design appropriate for testing the relationship between variables. Statistical tools such as mean, Pearson r, and regression analysis make data analysis more reliable. Additionally, a representative sample increases the generalizability of the results, so the manuscript is technically solid and supports its conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used are too old, perhaps less relevant to current educational developments. It is best to use references from relevant scientific articles that are less than 10 years old, except for original books that have not yet been printed.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments	Specific comments can be found in the manuscript file. Please See ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prihatin
Department, University & Country	Indonesia