

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126986
Title of the Manuscript:	SELF-AWARENESS LEARNING IN RELATION TO EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses the relationship between self-awareness learning and educational development in public elementary schools, a topic with significant implications for educational strategies. Understanding how self-awareness impacts educational outcomes could inform both teachers and policymakers in crafting programmes that foster emotional intelligence and personal growth in young students. This study has practical relevance, as it provides data-driven insights into the importance of self-awareness as a foundational component for student success.	We are thankful for your comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it reflects the core focus of the study on self-awareness learning and educational development. However, to enhance clarity, a minor adjustment could be considered: <i>"Examining the Relationship between Self-Awareness Learning and Educational Development in Public Elementary Schools."</i>	Thank you for your insightful feedback. I understand the suggestion to enhance clarity by adjusting the title. However, I feel that the current title already effectively conveys the main focus of the study.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides an adequate overview of the study, including the research design, sample, key findings, and recommendations. However, it would benefit from a clearer statement on the practical implications of the results, particularly how self-awareness can be leveraged in educational practices.	We are thankful for your comments. We have thoroughly revised the paper as suggested.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript follows a clear and logical structure, with defined sections for the introduction, methodology, results, and discussion.	We are thankful for your comments.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study employs a quantitative, non-experimental correlational design, which is appropriate for examining the relationship between self-awareness and educational development. The use of Pearson's r for correlation and regression analysis adds rigor to the findings. The sample size (133 students) is sufficient for the generalizability within the studied population, and the reliability of the research instrument (Cronbach's alpha of 0.784) suggests robustness. Overall, the study is scientifically sound, though providing additional details on the sampling method (e.g., clustering criteria) would strengthen the methodology.	We are thankful for your comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant.	We are thankful for your comments.
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is mostly clear, but minor grammatical adjustments could improve scholarly presentation. Specific areas to address include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Consistency in terminology (e.g., using "educational development" rather than variations like "educational progress").	We are thankful for your comments. We have thoroughly revised the paper as suggested.
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	