

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125823
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EXAMINATION OF THE EFFECTS OF SOCIAL MEDIA ON STUDENTS' ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE IN GEOGRAPHY IN SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN BADE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, YOBE STATE
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I encourage you to revise and resubmit your manuscript in accord with the reviewers' comments and the comments in this letter. The topic of the study is very interesting but modifications is must. As the paper is okay not good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is okay but it can change a bit. I suggest not mandatorily but it can change like "AN ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF SOCIAL MEDIA ON STUDENTS' ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT IN GEOGRAPHY AT SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN BADE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, YOBE STATE"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is okay . But I suggest to be more specific with the analysis tool.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Objective is missing in the manuscript. If possible I suggest to add importance, gap or limitation in the study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is not scientifically robust and technically sound. The study need details about the objective of the study. As objective is the main part of a study. I also suggest to add some more reviews in the study so that it becomes easier to identify the importance and gap. Analysis and interpretation should be more specific and I suggest to use some more statistical tool .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes, it is sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing style could be made more concise and clear. The organization of the paper could be improved by ensuring that each section flows logically from the previous one and that the arguments are well-structured. The use of headings and subheadings can help guide the reader through the paper.	
Optional/General comments	<div>1. The literature review section is quite brief and lacks depth. It should be expanded to provide a more comprehensive overview of the existing research on academic performance, its applications, and the challenges faced by students in implementing it. This will help establish the context and significance of the current study.</div> <div>2. The methodology section lacks important details such as the sampling technique, data collection procedures, and the validity and reliability of the instruments used. This information is crucial for assessing the rigor of the study and the credibility of the findings. The authors should provide a more detailed account of the research process.</div> <div>3. While these provide some insights, the authors should consider using more advanced statistical techniques such as regression analysis or structural equation modeling to explore the relationships between variables and test hypotheses. This will strengthen the conclusions drawn from the study.</div>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angkita Borpatra Gohain
Department, University & Country	Golaghat Commerce College, Dibrugarh University, India