

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125823
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EXAMINATION OF THE EFFECTS OF SOCIAL MEDIA ON STUDENTS' ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE IN GEOGRAPHY IN SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN BADE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, YOBE STATE
Type of the Article	Short Research Art

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the manuscript because it is i. Investigating the current and emerging research problem ii. It centred on the needed population in the needed area iii. The solution to the research questions if followed with helps in achieving great performance	1. Highlights the effects of social media on students 2. Study explores dynamics inherent in social media use of Geography studentslocal to the study area 3. Will be of relevance to policy makers.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The impact of social networking sites on Geography senior secondary students academic performance in Bade, Yobe state Nigeria	Your suggestions are acceptable. Proceed with the suggested title.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<div></div> The abstract lacks specific statistical data or key findings. Including percentages or specific trends would strengthen the clarity of the abstract. Also consider addition of keywords like. SNS, internet, ICTs instead of SSS, Yobe state geography students	Done in manuscript.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is well structured and is appropriate	OK.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because i. It carries scientific investigation on scientific problem ii. The topic is researchable iii. The result was analyzed and findings were reviled in scientific way	1. It is investigative. 2. Data collected from the field by researchers, therefore, original. 3. Analysis painstakingly done and recommendations based on that.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are Not sufficient and recent, more and recent references are need in the research	Updated where possible.
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some minor grammatical errors are present, and the writing could benefits from more concise phrasing.	Done.
Optional/General comments		Our research is a Short Research Article, therefore it cannot be elaborative as in long essays or full research papers. Regarding conflict of interest or eyhical issues, we have tried to adhere to all best practices. The authors have also not used instruments like AI. You can also proceed to publish our paper with the revised title as suggested by your reviewer. You can also proceed to process the article, as per your observations and policy in Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies. Thanks.

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	