

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_126811
Title of the Manuscript:	Abdominal Situs inversus with jejuno-ileal atresia and polysplenia: A case report.
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	An interesting article both for the type of congenital malformation, its prenatal diagnosis and its successful resolution.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Is acceptable	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The focus and discussion of the article are based on situs inversus, an anatomical variant that may not have greater relevance than the position of the organs; however, the description of the case reveals characteristics of levoisomerism (such as interruption of the vena cava and the position of the aorta), so I suggest that you base your discussion on levoisomerism and not only on situs inversus.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Good paper	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Cervantes
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Autonoma De Mexico, Mexico