

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_126939
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Emergency Response: Strategies Studies for Enhancing Hospital Management for Traffic Accident Victims
Type of the Article	Original research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The manuscript addresses a crucial area in healthcare: optimizing emergency response strategies for traffic accident victims. This is particularly relevant in countries with high road accident rates, where effective hospital management can significantly reduce mortality and improve patient outcomes. The study's focus on Indonesia adds valuable insights into the healthcare challenges within this context.</p> <p>Importance for the Scientific Community: The manuscript offers insights into emergency management improvements, which can serve as a reference for similar studies or hospitals worldwide. Its mixed-methods approach contributes both qualitative and quantitative perspectives on a complex issue, enhancing the robustness of the findings.</p>	The author would like to express their gratitude for the corrections and feedback provided on this article.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is mostly suitable but could be refined for clarity. Suggested alternative: "Strategies for Enhancing Hospital Emergency Response Management for Traffic Accident Victims."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract provides a clear summary of the manuscript's background, objectives, methods, and key findings. However, a few minor revisions could enhance its clarity and impact:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Emphasize the unique contribution of this study, particularly in addressing emergency response within a specific healthcare context in Indonesia.Mention the statistical significance of the findings directly, where applicable.Consider briefly indicating the main recommendations to give readers a snapshot of the study's practical applications.	Athor has been fixed
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-organized with logical subsections, making it easy to follow. The structure is generally appropriate, covering all critical aspects from background to recommendations. Minor improvements could involve a clearer segmentation in the "Results and Discussion" section by organizing findings under specific themes (e.g., "Human Resources," "Administrative Processes," "Information Systems").	Athor has been fixed
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. It employs a mixed-methods approach, which combines quantitative data on emergency response times with qualitative insights from interviews and observations. This approach enriches the findings and enhances the reliability of the recommendations provided. Additionally, the data sources and analytical methods are well-justified, making the study scientifically credible. The thematic analysis used in the qualitative section could benefit from further elaboration on methodology, such as specific coding or analysis tools.	Athor has been fixed
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript's references are generally sufficient and recent, providing a solid foundation. However, the inclusion of more recent studies, particularly those focusing on Southeast Asian or comparable healthcare systems, could add value by offering a broader perspective.	Athor has been fixed

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<div><input type="checkbox"/> Language and Grammar: The English language quality is appropriate for scholarly communication, though a few minor grammatical adjustments could improve readability, particularly in the results and discussion sections. For example, simplifying complex sentence structures could make the data presentation more accessible.</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Clarity in Results Section: Consider rephrasing some portions of the results for conciseness and clarity to improve reader comprehension.</div>	Athor has been fixed
Optional/General comments	This manuscript makes a valuable contribution to the field by exploring practical solutions to enhance hospital emergency responses. The mixed-methods approach allows for a nuanced understanding of challenges in hospital settings. Minor revisions to the title, abstract, and language will further enhance the readability and impact of this important research.	Athor has been fixed

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	