

Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_123332   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Feminization of Indian Agriculture                             |
| Type of the Article      |  |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        |   |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  |   |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   |   |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  |   |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. |   |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   |   |  |
| Minor REVISION comments   |   |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   |   |  |
| Optional/General comments   | <div>Reviewers comments:</div> <div>The author has identified a good and interesting topic for the study. However, it is observed that the author has depended heavily on secondary data. It is a known fact that the Indian Census was held in 2022. However, if the study included primary data , the study would have been significantly better.</div> <div>A research study requires objectives , based on which the hypothesis is framed. This does not seem to be visible in the manuscript.</div> <div>When the hypothesis is tested using statistical analysis, the outcome of the study becomes justified and clear. Therefore, it would help the author to work on this suggestion.</div> <div>Grammatical errors need to be addressed.</div> |  |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Vasanthi Reena Williams                                  |
| Department, University & Country | Vidya Vikas Institute of Engineering & Technology, India |