

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_123332
Title of the Manuscript:	Feminization of Indian Agriculture
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article provides a comprehensive overview of the feminization of agriculture in India and its implications. Consider breaking down some complex sentences to improve readability. - For example, the sentence "The states with a greater number of people working in agriculture saw the smallest shifts in labour participation between men and women" might be clearer if rephrased or expanded upon. It could be helpful to include specific examples or case studies to illustrate the general trends and make your points more tangible for readers Your emphasis on the need for policy initiatives is crucial. You mention land and credit as major obstacles, which is well-supported. Expanding on specific policy measures or successful examples from other regions could provide additional insights and practical solutions. Consider discussing how existing policies might be falling short or how they could be improved based on your analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Your article discusses the economic impacts and policy implications of increasing female participation in agriculture. A more specific title could reflect these aspects. Like "Gender Dynamics and Economic Impacts: The Feminization of Agriculture in India"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a solid overview of the key points, but it could be enhanced for greater clarity and comprehensiveness. Suggestions for Improvement: Clarify the Main Argument Expand on Key Points Provide a bit more detail on the specific findings or insights from your study. For example, mention key statistics or findings about wage disparities or policy gaps if space allows. Include Methodology Highlight Policy Recommendations; if space allows	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript consists of many headings and subsections, reducing these headings along with Clearly stating the research problem, objectives, and significance of the study is needed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The analysis of empirical data and theoretical perspectives contributes to a thorough understanding of the economic and social implications of increasing female participation in agriculture. The manuscript employs lacks a clear and systematic methodology. It addresses key findings, such as the shift of male labor to other sectors leading to increased female participation in agriculture, and the persistent issue of underpayment for women. While it highlights key issues, the conclusion could better emphasize the implications of these findings for gender equality and policy The conclusion does not provide specific recommendations or solutions based on the findings. Adding actionable suggestions would strengthen the manuscript's practical impact.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	References are comprehensive enough to support the arguments and findings presented. Can include this Sharma, P., & Nayak, S. (2019). The Dynamics and Status of Feminisation in Indian Agriculture. <i>Journal of Rural Development</i> .	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of your article are likely suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript has few grammatical errors and few corrections are needed throughout the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rukmani Adhikari
Department, University & Country	Nepal