

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAAR_122509 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nutrient Enhancing and Flesh Quality Improvement in Catfish (Clarias gariepinus) Fed Dietary Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas) Leaves Aqueous Extract |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is seemed good and organized. The topic and the study evaluated nicely. This type of scientific work on aquatic nutrition is trending now a days. Some section needs to be revised especially the result and the discussion. I highlighted some suggestion and recommendations, please cooperate for the improving the quality of the MS. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, some suggestions are highlighted in MS. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This type of scientific work on aquatic nutrition is trending now. The author (s) used classic scientific methods in the study. The alternative ingredient (sweet potato) is low cost and can be used in aquatic feed in the future. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Yes. | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>The review of MS needs some time and efforts. Dear Editor, if you favour me then please cite our articles on this paper.</p> <p>KASHANI, I., KACHHI, K. K., FATIMA, A., AHMED, N., & MENGAL, E. (2022). Dietary replacement of fish meal with soybean meal for the optimal growth of Juvenile Milkfish, Chanos chanos (Forsskal, 1775) in seawater tanks. Sindh University Research Journal-SURJ (Science Series), 54(2): 90-96. https://doi.org/10.26692/surj.v54i2.5806</p> | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Imtiaz Kashani |
| Department, University & Country | Centre of Excellence in Marine Biology, University of Karachi, Pakistan |