

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_126257
Title of the Manuscript:	Development And Quality Evaluation Of Cookies From Lima Bean And Emmer Wheat
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is important as it highlights reduction of over dependence on wheat by substituting with lima beans. I like the scientific outcomes and nutritional measurements done on the cookies. However, I dislike the very low number of references used indicating less literature review as well as comparing their study to what has already been done in terms of results and discussion. The statistical analysis also needs improvement as highlighted in the uploaded pdf.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Although modifications can be made to mention what exactly was the findings in terms of quality.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. There can also use a three-tier heading. E.g. 2.0, 2.1	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscripts can be said to be scientifically okay, but yes improvements are also welcomed. The robust and technically sound assessment of the manuscript can be argued in terms of lack of in-depth literature review or comparing the results to similar studies or cookies made with only wheat, but there is room for improvement with other studies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. The references are not sufficient.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript needs to be modified in terms of citing enough existence research as well as proper statistical analysis.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chidimma Linda Ifeh
Department, University & Country	Montana State University, United States