# **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126952
Title of the Manuscript:	Extension of shelf life of Roasted Duck by combination of Vacuum Packaging and Low Temperature Storage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Place with a few content of the first of the few contents of the f	This was a solution to determine a shall be a first of the solution of the sol	his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance	This manuscript helps in determining shelf life of the roasted duck in aerobic packaging	
of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do	and in the combination of freezer storage and vacuum packaging resources for ranchers	
you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4	and researchers to carry forward the research work.	
sentences may be required for this part.	•	
Is the title of the article suitable?	No	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you	Add few suggestive words of conclusion.	
suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this	That ion daggeon on ional or constant	
section? Please write your suggestions here.		
, 55		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript	Yes	
appropriate?	1 C3	
αρριοριίαιο:		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific	In table insert single spacing between days	
correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that	Include some recent citations.	
this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically	Add few suggestive words in conclusion.	
sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required	Mention some such keywords which is not written in title.	
for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have	Please try to mention some recent references.	
suggestions of additional references, please mention		
them in the review form.		
Minor PEVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable	Yes	
for scholarly communications?	100	
ioi scholarly communications:		
Optional/General comments		
<u> </u>		
	ı	<u> </u>

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Niraj Kumar Prajapati
Department, University & Country	Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)