

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126493
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Tillage Practices on Soil Physical and Chemical Properties Under Groundnut Based Cropping Systems in Vertisol
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the Manuscript is important for the scientific community because the quality of the article suitable for scholarly considerations with more clarity This manuscript addresses a critical area of agricultural research by examining how different tillage practices impact the physical and chemical properties of soil in vertisol ecosystems, particularly within groundnut-based cropping systems. Understanding these effects is essential for developing sustainable farming practices that enhance soil health, promote crop productivity, and mitigate environmental degradation.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	ok
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it is scientifically robust and technically sound in grammar, engagement and delivery. The findings could provide valuable insights for agronomists, soil scientists, and farmers, facilitating the creation of tailored management strategies that optimize soil use while ensuring food security. I appreciate this manuscript for its practical implications and focus on sustainable agriculture, as it aligns with the growing need to balance productivity with environmental stewardship	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes Some of the References/citations are not available, eg. Reference Johnson 1973, sharawat 1982 and Yang 1999	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	