

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123711
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of terminal heat stress tolerance in doubled haploids derived from synthetic hexaploid wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) using genetic variability and PCA-based cluster analyses
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's a good manuscript and research done on current need. Data was also good taken. problems highlighted in manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable for publication	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Up to mark	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Up to mark	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's a good manuscript and research done on current need. Data was also good taken. problems highlighted in manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Can add some new research finding for better supporting data.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article good for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alok Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, India