

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126722
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE LEVELS AND PREVENTIVE PRACTICES REGARDING DENGUE AND CHIKUNGUNYA AMONG OUTPATIENTS IN DAR ES SALAAM AND ZANZIBAR, TANZANIA: A CROSS-SECTIONAL HOSPITAL-BASED STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Dengue is a very important vector borne disease. The number of deaths are increasing due to the dangerous disease. It is completely possible to decrease the incidence of dengue by following appropriate prevention practises. It is evident That people are not aware of these protective and preventive measures which puts society at risk. It is our duty as citizens to promote such manuscripts that make people aware .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<u>Yes</u>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<u>Yes. No addition of extra information.</u>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<u>Yes</u>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<u>This manuscript has followed all the norms of community medicine with respect to data collection, data from recognized articles. Highlights a need for community awareness. The in depth knowledge of dengue and reasons for use of Behavior control studies is impressive.</u>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<u>-Yes. But additional information can be added using International Journal of Community Medicine and Public health, article name study of knowledge attitude and practises regarding dengue among the general population of Goa. By Manfred.et.al</u>	The reference has been added. M. F. Cardozo, E. Balaji, A. Francis, A. Dias, and L. Dias, "Assessment of knowledge, attitude, and practices regarding dengue among the general population of Goa," vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1232–1238, 2023.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	We have carried out all the necessary corrections as recommended by the Reviewer.