

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_126347
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on properties of zero points and poles of K-bianalytic functions
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study of zeros and poles is crucial in understanding the behavior of dynamical systems defined by K-bianalytic functions. They can be used to analyze the stability of fixed points and periodic orbits. In complex analysis, K-bianalytic functions can be used to construct various types of function spaces, enhancing the theory of analytic functions. They contribute to the development of potential theory and the study of meromorphic functions. In physics, K-bianalytic functions can model various phenomena, including fluid dynamics and electromagnetic fields, where the behavior at singular points is critical.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Well written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results presented here seems to be correct. The presentation is good and the proofs and results are correct, The language is very good.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add the article "The Study of Mean Value Theorems of K-analytic Functions", Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science Volume 38, Issue 10, Page 37-43, 2023.	
Minor REVISION comments	The language is good. It can readable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	I recommend that you accept this paper for publication in your journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.Thirupathi Reddy
Department, University & Country	J.N.T.U, India