Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Microbiology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMB_124543**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Phenotypic and genotypic detection of extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing and fluoroquinolone resistant Escherichia coli isolated from Pigs and its antibiogram pattern**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**I like this manuscript because it is very useful due to its importance related to public health and the environment**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**the topic Title are very well organized and clear**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	*1.* **Introduction : The manuscript is correct linguistically and grammatically** *2.* **Methodology** **Population, Sampling & Sample, Data Collection, Data Analysis and Definition are organized and clear.** *3.* **Results** **Descriptive, Analytic / Hypothesis, Testing, Data Presentation, Tables and figures is clear presentation of the results data.** *4.* **Discussion** **Magnitude/Consistency, Cause-effect relationship and Bias / Limitation are organized and clear.** *5.* **Conclusion : clear** *6.* **RECOMMENDATION : not found**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**yes**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**I consider this study important because of its impact that E. coli bacteria are transmitted through pig feces, which adds importance for society to deal with pig feces with caution, in addition to cooking the meat well.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**References :Correct but found 27 References before 2016**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**yes**	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Inas Sattar Abd**
Department, University & Country	**Al-Karkh University of Science, Iraq**