

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_124543
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotypic and genotypic detection of extended-spectrum $\beta$ -lactamase producing and fluoroquinolone resistant Escherichia coli isolated from Pigs and its antibiogram pattern
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article addresses an important subject on development and transmission of AMR bacterial strains. AMR in food animals constitute potential source of transmission to humans giving rise to 'super bugs'	Thank you, sir,
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggestion: Antibiogram, phenotypic and genotypic detection of extended-spectrum $\beta$ -lactamase producing and fluoroquinolone resistant <i>Escherichia coli</i> isolated from Pigs	Thank you, sir,
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Quite precise However, abbreviations should not appear in this section	Thank you, sir,
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Phrases should appear in full with abbreviations in the brackets at first mention and thereafter as abbreviations of acronyms. Materials and methods The flow should be 2.4 Antibiotic susceptibility testing 2.5 phenotypic detection of ESBLs production and FQ resistance 2.6 Genotypic detection of AMR genes (The table should appear under this subsection) Fig. 4 appears to have some contamination. I wish there was a better one.	Thank you, sir,
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is good but does not have a discussion There is need to maintain consistency in gen nomenclature e.g. <i>bla</i> <sub>SHV</sub> , <i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M</sub> , <i>bla</i> <sub>TEM</sub>	Thank you, sir,
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	Thank you, sir,
Minor REVISION comments	Its acceptable	Thank you, sir,
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	