

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126906
Title of the Manuscript:	Line x Tester Analysis of Combing Ability for Seed Yield and Its Contributing Traits in Soybean
Type of the Article	Original research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Soybean is an important oil seed crop and need improvement and development of some new high yielding varieties. Two crosses are identified as high yielding crosses and may be tested for identification of hybrid development. So, this manuscript is important for scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive but need some improvement. So, it is suggested to rewrite it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Soybean is an important multipurpose crop and used as oil seed crop as well as pulse crop. It need improvement and development of some new high yielding varieties. Two crosses are identified as high yielding crosses and may be tested for identification of hybrid development. So, this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. So, this manuscript is important for scientific community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Need some recent references	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript is scientifically sound and original. It is need to add some recent references. I recommended this manuscript for publication with some minor modifications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranju Kumari
Department, University & Country	Mandan Bharti Agricultural College, India