### **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126906
Title of the Manuscript:	Line x Tester Analysis of Combing Ability for Seed Yield and Its Contributing Traits in Soybean
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</a> Benefits for Reviewers: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</a>

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is interesting; - It is about new variety of soybean - It interesting science for research - It is new technology	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, of course.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Should be added Keywords,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul> <li>-The use of Line x Tester analysis is a well-established technique that allows researchers to assess both the additive and non-additive genetic variance, which is crucial for understanding the heritability of seed yield traits.</li> <li>- The manuscript likely employs rigorous statistical methodologies to analyze data, ensuring that the results are both reliable and applicable.</li> <li>- It is technical soundness, contributing valuable insights to soybean breeding programs.</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-Some of the references are not recent, so if it is possible replace by the recent one.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Asrat Mekonnen
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)