

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125941
Title of the Manuscript:	Development And Characterisation Of Chitozan-Zeolite Composite Fertilizer Formulations For Enhancing Nutrient Use Efficiency
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>- As for the objective of the work, it should be highlighted, as well as the working hypothesis. On the other hand, the innovation of the work and its specific contribution should be emphasized. A deeper vision is suggested, which addresses, reviews and analyzes the causes with the effects of what it proposes since it lacks a vision of the geology of the site to determine the influence of the soil and its influence on the study.</p> <p>It is suggested that the authors review whether or not they are hygroscopic due to the mineral used or the effect that the main, secondary and micronutrients and compatible fertilizer sources have on them.</p> <p>Take care of the spaces between words</p> <p>It is suggested to put the chemical composition of the zeolite and the type of zeolite used.</p> <p>it is recommended to update the bibliographic references</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	

Review Form 3

Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	the article is scientifically and technically sound as it uses the scientific method to evaluate the different variables of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	increase the updated bibliography	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English should be improved	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Hernandez Avila
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of the State of Hidalgo, Mexico