

**Review Form 3**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJNFS_126370  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Development and Optimization of Ready to Eat Cobia (Rachycentron canadum) fish Fillet Chunks in Curry Medium Packed in Flexible Retort Pouches |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | This is an interesting topic that will support food security in many countries where food packaging is a problem. The research idea will help to reduce post-harvest lost that many fish producers suffer around Asia, Africa, America and Europe.  |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | I suggest: Optimizing the use of flexible retort pouches for production of ready to eat Cobia ( <i>Rachycentron canadum</i> ) fish fillet in curry medium.  |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | The abstract looks comprehensive enough regarding the level work that was done  |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Not very appropriate. Requires to be reviewed.  |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The research would prompt other researchers to think of possible ways of localizing the idea to solve problems within their communities. The idea will be beneficial to food industries and fish producers.   |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.<br>:  | No, the references are not sufficient. Out of the 49 references in the article only 12 (24%) are dated within the last 10 years, others are more than 10 – 24 years and 34 - 66 years old. The author should look for more recent references. For example <a href="https://doi.org/10.31248/RJFSN2022.153">https://doi.org/10.31248/RJFSN2022.153</a> , might help in the author to structure the article and sensory evaluation section. |  |
| Minor REVISION comments<br><br>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | The article requires to be properly edited especially the materials and method section. The methodologies were not properly written, references were not provided, and methodological procedures were not clearly stated. Also, in-text citation style was inconsistent. Author should review the in-text citation style and stick to one style.  |  |
| Optional/General comments   | I have provided some comments in the article that would guide the author during revision.<br><br>PLEASE SEE ATTACHHMENT   |  |

PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Aloysius Chimezie Adibe                 |
| Department, University & Country | Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Nigeria |