

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122651
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical and sensory characterization of biscuits enriched with avocado pit flour (Peasea Americana Mill.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript on the physico-chemical and sensory characterization of biscuits enriched with avocado pit flour appears to be a valuable contribution to food science, particularly in the context of utilizing underused resources like avocado pits. I appreciate the innovative approach to reducing food waste by incorporating a byproduct into a commonly consumed product like biscuits. The study's focus on both the physical and chemical properties, alongside sensory evaluation, provides a comprehensive understanding of the potential of avocado pit flour in food applications. However, the success of such studies largely depends on the clarity of the methodology and the relevance of the findings to broader food production and consumer acceptance. If these aspects are well-addressed, the manuscript could significantly contribute to sustainable food practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No ,its perfect	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is partially correct and need some minor editing	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Here are the required corrections for the manuscript:  1. In <b>Flow Chart 1</b> , remove the repetition of the word "sanitization." Additionally, ensure the source author's name is mentioned. 2. In <b>Section 2.3.8</b> , when discussing packaging at chilled temperatures, specify the exact temperature used and explain why chilling is necessary for biscuits. 3. Clarify the acronyms <b>ACF</b> and <b>FCA</b> by providing their full forms to avoid confusion. 4. In <b>Flowchart 2</b> , include the author's name. 5. In <b>Section 2.6.7</b> , correct the typographical error in the formula by deleting the unnecessary bracket. 6. In the <b>Results and Discussion</b> section, ensure that the subsections for <b>Tables 3.1</b> and <b>3.2</b> are properly numbered as <b>3.1.1</b> , <b>3.1.2</b> , etc., and <b>3.2.1</b> , <b>3.2.2</b> , etc., similar to the numbering in <b>Tables 3.3</b> and <b>3.4</b> .	

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the references are sufficient and recent ,no need to add much	
Minor REVISION comments	There is a need for thorough grammatical revision; please rephrase the sentence if possible.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Revise the manuscript to enhance its scientific rigor by incorporating the minor edits mentioned above	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anushree R K
Department, University & Country	Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, India