

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_126342
Title of the Manuscript:	Left Ventricular Ultrasound Diastolic Parameters in Postmenopausal Breast Cancer Patients Treated with Adjuvant Anthracycline and Trastuzumab
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author evaluate changes in left ventricular (LV) ultrasound parameters, including diastolic parameters, in postmenopausal women with breast cancer (BC), as a high-risk group receiving anthracycline-trastuzumab-containing regimens.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes it is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. It is not a research article, It is meta-analysis or literature review. PRISMA should be included accordingly. 2. Author has abbreviated breast cancer as (BC), but still throughout the manuscript full form is used correct that. 3. From December 2019 to March 2024 at the ultrasound laboratory of Tbilisi State University Medical Center, mention the city and country as well in this. 4. The author has to add about regression analysis, and survival analysis tools (Kaplan-Meier curves and Cox proportional model) in the introduction part as well and cite them accordingly. 5. Add few article for citations <a href="https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9783527841165.ch3">https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9783527841165.ch3</a> 6. The Figure 2 is not mentioned in the text add it accordingly. 7. Rewrite the conclusion with significant outcome of the study. 8. Make a table of abbreviations.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devesh U Kapoor
Department, University & Country	Dr. Dayaram Patel Pharmacy College, Gujarat Technological University, India