

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_126342
Title of the Manuscript:	Left Ventricular Ultrasound Diastolic Parameters in Postmenopausal Breast Cancer Patients Treated with Adjuvant Anthracycline and Trastuzumab
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Good article highlighting the ventricular changes in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy.	We are so grateful for your good review.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Yes, it is
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Background or need of study should be included along with aims in the abstract	Regrettably due to the limitation of the number of words, we had to shorten the abstract. We followed the instructions. According to your comments we added the Background to abstract
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria should be clear and mentioned separately	We corrected it
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Cardiovascular monitoring and maintaining good cardiovascular health is very essential in cancer patients and this article has studied an very important aspect of cardiotoxicity induced by chemotherapy.	Special thanks to you for your professionally good comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Yes, it is
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Yes	Yes, it is
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Thank you for your time and cooperation. We hope our submission will be published soon.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The study was approved by the Ethical Commission of the Faculty of Medicine of TSU. All patients provided written informed consent