

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_126641
Title of the Manuscript:	Is a Synaptic Dysfunction the Origin of Autism?
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As there are unknown cause for autism, it is important to carry out such studies to explore the origin of autism.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Relationship between Synaptic Dysfunction and Autism: A Review	Revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is best to mention the purpose of the study after the background in the abstract “In this review the different neurochemical findings and the correlation of synaptic dysfunction in autistic children will be closely evaluated”	Done revision
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need some alignment, size, font should be reviewed according to the journal gridlines	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Such studies (such title) need an experimental methodology to explore the effect of synaptic dysfunction on the autism.	Corrected
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Some of the references are old like (1,2,6,12,13)	ok
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some of prevalence need to be updated according to CDC, 2024 “ASD affects about 1% of the global population”. While the lest prevalence of ASD are 1/36 child (according to CDC, 2024)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	