

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_126423
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS PRACTICES ON COMPANY VALUE IN INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE SRI-KEHATI INDEX COMPANIES
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper examines the effect of economic, environmental, social, and governance performance on firm value. The paper highlights the implementation aspects, which are an important thing that companies have to see in order to create a sustainable business.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	Noted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Noted
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	Noted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The conclusion could be expended a little more.	Noted conclusion has been added to the article

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Noted