Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJCRS_126054**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Incidental discovery of situs inversus of the gallbladder due to stones during a coelioscopic approach**
Type of the Article	**Case report**

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript highlights the rare occurrence of a left-sided gallbladder due to atypical portal and ligament anatomy, underscoring the need for precise recognition of such anomalies in laparoscopic surgery. It offers valuable insights for preventing complications, especially in hepatobiliary and transplant procedures. The article is clinically relevant and informative for the surgical community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**Yes, It is suitable.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract would benefit from including the clinical significance of the anomaly, specifically highlighting potential surgical risks due to atypical gallbladder positioning. Additionally, specifying that the case involved a standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy would clarify that the anomaly was managed without deviation from typical techniques. Introducing the term "right-sided ligamentum teres (RSLT)" earlier in the abstract would improve clarity for readers. These adjustments would make the abstract more informative and enhance its precision for the scientific audience.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript structure is mostly appropriate, with clear sections like the abstract, introduction, case presentation, discussion, and conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, presenting a well-documented case of a rare gallbladder anomaly and its impact on laparoscopic surgery. It clearly describes the anatomical variation, outlines the standard surgical approach, and details the precise intraoperative steps taken to ensure patient safety, demonstrating technical accuracy. The discussion is well-supported by relevant literature, emphasizing the rarity and importance of this condition. Overall, the manuscript's thorough documentation and methodological clarity make it a valuable contribution to the understanding of biliary system anomalies in surgical practice.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	The references in this manuscript are mostly sufficient, covering both foundational and specific studies on left-sided gallbladders and biliary anomalies. However, some sources are slightly outdated, with many references dating back over a decade. Adding more recent studies, particularly on advancements in imaging techniques or laparoscopic management of biliary anomalies, would strengthen the manuscript's relevance.	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript provides a valuable case report on a rare gallbladder anomaly, enhancing understanding of its surgical implications. The clear presentation and thorough discussion make it a useful addition to the literature on biliary system variations.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Asma Kafeel**
Department, University & Country	**Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences, Pakistan**