

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_126332 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | AN ANALYSIS OF MARKETING STATUS OF BT COTTON SEED IN DEVBHUMI DWARKA DISTRICT |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | 1. Well written & well-presented but lacks meaning full analysis; 8 groups [tables] were given but not tried to integrate them – otherwise they serve qualitative purpose only; and to serve Bt-cotton seed industry; non-Bt-cotton issue is not touched; since 2002/03 in seven years Bt cotton seeds were changed three types as they failed within three years and now BG-II & BG-III entered the market and how it is effecting Bt-Cotton yield. What about non-Bt cotton market? What about the yield and inputs==etc. under the 8 groups and seed varieties. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | OK | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | OK | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | OK | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | | |
| - | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name: | Sazzala Jeevananda Reddy |
| Department, University & Country | India |