

# Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126332
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ANALYSIS OF MARKETING STATUS OF BT COTTON SEED IN DEVBHUMI DWARKA DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As Cotton is one of the major crop, grown in the Country, study on the marketing channels is useful for the line departments as well as policy makers	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A STUDY ON MARKETING CHANNELS OF <i>BT</i> COTTON SEED IN DEVBHUMI DWARKA DISTRICT	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	CORRECTIONS SUGGESTED IN THE MANUSCRIPT NEED TO BE CARRIED OUT	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	NO NEED OF GIVING INFORMATION ON PROFILE OF COTTON GROWERS. INSTEAD AUTHOR SHOULD HAVE FOCUSED MORE ON MARKETING CHANNELS AND WHICH CHANNEL WAS MORE ECONOMICAL TO FARMERS.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Simple analysis using frequency and percentage was done	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NONE OF THE REFERENCES MENTIONED AT THE END OF THE PAPER ARE CITED IN THE ARTICLE.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	CORRECTIONS NEED TO BE CARRIED OUT AS SUGGESTED	
Optional/General comments	Paper may be published only after making all the corrections suggested  SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Bala Hussain Reddy
Department, University & Country	Acharya N G Ranga Agricultura University, India