

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126325
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing Constraint and Suggestion of Respondents Regarding Dairy Management Practices in Semi-urban and Rural Areas
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is based on analyzing the constraint and Suggestion of respondents Regarding Dairy Management Practices which have great importance in promotion of commercial dairy farming and by increasing the income of farmers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Suitable and appropriate for the present study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, comprehensive, There is no need of addition or deletion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound and help policy makers in removing various constraints related to nutrition, health care, Artificial insemination/ and veterinary doctor approach.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NEED MINOR REVISION, SOME REFERENCES INCORPORATED IN THE MANUSCRIPT AND AUTHOR NEED TO MUST INCORPORATE 3-4 NEW REFERENCES RELATED TO THE PRESENT STUDY. Yes, Language/English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	NEED MINOR REVISION, SOME REFERENCES INCORPORATED IN THE MANUSCRIPT AND AUTHOR NEED TO MUST INCORPORATE 3-4 NEW REFERENCES RELATED TO THE PRESENT STUDY.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arjun Prasad Verma
Department, University & Country	Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, India