

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126325
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing Constraint and Suggestion of Respondents Regarding Dairy Management Practices in Semi-urban and Rural Areas
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		More than 60% India's population dependent on agricultural farming and dairy is the supplementary or subsidiary of the agriculture. India is the second largest populated country in the world therefore milk demand on higher side that's why prime research field is dairy farming and dairy technology.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Analyzing Constraint Analysis and Suggestion of Respondents Regarding Dairy Management Practices in Semi-urban and Rural Areas of Fatehpur district in Uttar Pradesh	Yes and accepted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is okay. But the sample selection 15-15 is not appropriate. It's better to write 15 respondents from each of the villages of semi-urban and rural areas was selected randomly thus constituting a total of 120 respondents. Keyword always should be written in alphabetical order. Dairy Management practices, Constraints, and Suggestions	Yes and accepted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	Yes and accepted
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Methodology: <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Clear justification is required for the selection of the district.➤ Statistical measures used were missing in the methodology part. What methodology they used exactly was missed out, Mention it. (Ranking of the statements were on what basis)➤ Respondent selected for the study should be a dairy farmer. However there is no mention about its selection	Yes and accepted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	(Acharya, K.K. et. al., 2022)- (Acharya et. al., 2022). References are too old. Mention some latest references since many studies has been conducted in this topic.	Yes and accepted
Minor REVISION comments	YES	Yes and accepted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No