

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126205
Title of the Manuscript:	Generation Mean Analysis in Physiomorphic Traits of Aerobic Rice
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a research based on basics of biometrics. It enrich scientific community by providing information on different types inter-allelic interaction of genes, and their impact on the development characters. I like this manuscript because the hectic work has been done by the researchers and man scripted in good fashion.	No correction suggested
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It's good	No correction suggested
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have suggested to revised the abstract and write in reflected way of whole research.	Abstract has been restructured as suggested
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	No correction suggested
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a research based on basics of biometrics. It enrich scientific community by providing information on different types inter-allelic interaction of genes, and their impact on the development characters. I like this manuscript because the hectic work has been done by the researchers and man scripted in good fashion.	No correction suggested
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	No correction suggested
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Its good.	No correction suggested
Optional/General comments	It should be published	No correction suggested

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No