

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126748
Title of the Manuscript:	Post Harvest Management techniques for improved self-life of horticultural crops- A review
Type of the Article	Literature review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is very important, I really enjoyed reading it. The manuscript deals with an alarming topic, which is the issue of post-harvest losses, for which they mention and emphasize the importance of management through techniques. They also highlighted the new perspective on the subject.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is coherent	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Very complete, detailing everything the review	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes!	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work mentions existing problems and solutions used and to be used in post-harvest management. It seeks to highlight the importance of food safety, food preservation and improving the standard of living. Since these are foods of plant origin and due to the bioactive properties, they possess, I consider the research to be quite complete	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are good, but could be more current.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes!	
Optional/General comments	Dear authors, I think you can add more examples of work done on post-harvest management techniques. I suggest that they be as current as possible. You can present them in the form of tables or figures (perhaps a self-explanatory image about the research done).  PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Marcos Fabian Sanabria Franco
Department, University & Country	University of Sao Paulo, Brazil