Review Form 3

1

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122891
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different IPM modules against green semilooper (Thysanoplusia orichalcea Fab.) and capitulum borer (Helicoverpa armigera Hab.) of sunflower
Type of the Article	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

		T
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance	1. The article provides some good information regarding the bio-based management	
of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do	of lepidopteran pests in sunflower.	
you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4	2. There are some minor corrections in the text which should be adjusted.	
sentences may be required for this part.	3. The orientation of the table in the word file needs to be corrected so that it is	
	easily legible.	
	4. The article may be accepted after necessary corrections.	
	,,,	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	100	
(ii not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you	Yes	
suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this		
section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and etweeture of the manuscript	Vec. but the tables should be presented correctly evented	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript	Yes, but the tables should be presented correctly oriented	
appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific	The topic of the manuscript has been chosen correctly as the IPM of lepidopteran	
correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that	pests of sunflower is very much needed.	
this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically	2. The methodology followed to conduct the study was correct.	
sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required	3. The results have been written well with good discussion.	
	5. The results have been written wen with good discussion.	
for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have	Voc	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have	Yes	
suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
them in the review form.		
Missa DEVICION serves esta	Vac acade como minos como ticos	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, needs some minor corrections.	
lo the lenguage/English quality of the orticle suitable for		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for		
scholarly communications?		
Ontional/Coneval comments		
Optional/General comments	The profession and the second of the second	
	The article may be accepted after minor corrections.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suprakash Pal
Department, University & Country	Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)