Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122891
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different IPM modules against green semilooper (Thysanoplusia orichalcea Fab.) and capitulum borer (Helicoverpa armigera Hab.) of sunflower
Type of the Article	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
<u>Gempuisory</u> INE VIOLOTY Comments	Treviewer 3 comment	part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for advancing knowledge and addressing gaps in the field. I like its clear analysis, strong evidence and potential to influence future research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable if it clearly reflects the main focus of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good if it covers the main points of the article. Please add or remove points if it makes the summary clearer.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure are appropriate if they help organize the content clearly and logically.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct if the data and methods are accurate, and the conclusions make sense based on the evidence. It is strong and reliable if it uses accurate data, good methods, and clear reasoning.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add more recent citation related to this manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think, the language is suitable if it is clear and error-free.	
Optional/General comments	The investigation is the manuscript must be published.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Omar Faruq
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)