

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115780
Title of the Manuscript:	Trial on the production and use of compost made from pterygota bequaertii crushed material and Terminalia Ivorensis A Chev crushed material for the production of Gmelina arborea seedlings : results in the nursery and after transplanting (Daloa, central western Côte d'Ivoire).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is interesting because of the research topic.</li> <li>It is suitable</li> <li>The abstract section is comprehensive, but it is long, so it is better to summarize it.</li> <li>structure of the manuscript is appropriate</li> <li>Yes, it is correct</li> <li>The references are very old, please use references from recent years. In the references section, pay attention to the format of references 34, 35, 36, 29, 25, 16 and 4. All references should be in a consistent journal format.</li> <li>What does *** mean in tables 2 and 3? Refer to the table below.</li> <li>The diagrams in Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6 should not be in the form of images.</li> <li>In Figures 6 and 7, the mean comparison of each column should be inserted above that column.</li> <li>The captions of the figures are not indicative of every figure and the treatments are not mentioned in the captions.</li> <li>The conclusion section should be one paragraph and useful.</li> <li>Replace m2 with m<sup>-2</sup>, million with millions, cmol.kg-1 with cmol.kg<sup>-1</sup>, cm.mm-1 with cm.mm<sup>-1</sup>, cm3 with cm<sup>3</sup>, collected with Collected, mg.kg-1 with mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>, K+ with K<sup>+</sup>, Ca2+ with Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg2+ with Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na+ with Na<sup>+</sup></li> <li>There are many short paragraphs, especially in the introduction section, so that the paragraphs can be merged together as much as possible.</li> <li>The first letters of keywords should be capitalized.</li> </ol>	<p>The entire manuscript has been adapted to the exact format of the journal</p> <p>The abstract is less than 300 words as mentioned in the guide for authors</p> <p>The introduction has been revised</p> <p>This text has been corrected</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suitable</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	