

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126852
Title of the Manuscript:	The four different anabolic scenarios: a potential mandatory distinction for research and clinical practice
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article has a clear message . Which warrant a clear distinction between these scenarios and the rational use of these hormones. It could enhance academic and clinical discourse, leading to more precise recommendations. Future prospective study will help to draw more precise conclusion in this context.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article is not suitable one. Suggested Title: Use of anabolic in different scenarios: warrant a potential mandatory distinction for research and clinical practice	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is fairly comprehensive but still could be more	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is fair	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scenario based discussion is the main base of this article. But it would be better if there is more renown national and international guideline based discussion is there in this four context. Simultaneously true indication and absolute contraindication of these hormones should be added with appropriate reference. Add a recommendation section. Add few more study based outcome could be added on favour or against of irrational or judicial use of these hormones.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Please add some more evidence based study references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is fair	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article could be accepted after minor revision.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazma Akter
Department, University & Country	MARKS Medical College & Hospital, Bangladesh