

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126633
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus associated with leaf curl and yellowing disease of bitter gourd from Karnataka
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community as it is part of continuing research on identification and management of viral diseases in tomato. I like the manuscript since as a plant pathologist it arouses my interest. Viral diseases pause a major challenge for researchers and any work dealing with them becomes very interesting	Thank you for recognizing its value in the scientific community.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Remove subtitles and write in continuous pros.	According to journal guidelines, abstract need to be written with subtitles.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct as it follows accepted format. The manuscript is a robust attempt at leaf curl virus research in tomato. It is technically sound as it ends with a conclusion	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	YES	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments		Thank you for valuable comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	