

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125916
Title of the Manuscript:	PROCESSING AND EVALUATION OF FUNCTIONAL PANEER FROM COCONUT MILK (COCOS NUCIFERA L.) AND COW MILK BLENDS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic is highly important and match the current trend for food preference as the consumption of paneer is increasing in both urban and rural areas. Thus this work shows novelty as the normal mixture of cow's milk is blended with coconut milk	Paneer is a soft cheese that is a rich source of protein, fat, minerals, and vitamins. Coconut milk paneer also contains important vitamins and minerals that contribute to overall health and well-being. Blending cow milk with other types of milk can improve the yield and quality of paneer. Here are some ways that paneer made from a blend of cow milk and other types of milk can be important for society
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Yes, mostly suitable
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Normally an abstract could be less than 300 words. Thus its better to shorten the abstract in which the initial 3 or 4 sentences which are generally written could be deleted.	In future i will reduce the abstract content
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Yes absolutely
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The technical concept namely all the physicochemical	Yes, the manuscript is technically sound in all aspect of Physically, chemically and sensory characteristics to improve the quality of manuscript.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Addition of refrences should be done. Updating to the current and recent years	The sufficient references are added for supporting the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Yes
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	No

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues