

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126185
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANIC NUTRIENTS AND ZINC BIOFORTIFICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SPONGE GOURD (Luffa cylindrica L.) CV. MAHY HARITA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Response of Organic and Zn nutrients on Growth and Yield of Sponge Gourd is a very informative and practically applicable research, the result shows the positive impact of organic nutrient and Zn application on growth, yield and quality.	Yes
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is not appealing, it may be reviewed by author Some suggestions are: 1. EXPLORE THE POTENTIAL OF ORGANIC NUTRIENTS AND ZINC BIOFORTIFICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SPONGE GOURD (LUFFA CYLINDRICA L.) CV. MAHY HARITA 2. EFFECTIVENESS OF ORGANIC SOIL AMMENDMENTS AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF ZINC BIOFORTIFICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SPONGE GOURD (LUFFA CYLINDRICA L.) CV. MAHY HARITA 3. PERFORMANCE OF SPONGE GOURD UNDER DIFFERENT ORGANIC SOIL AMENDMENTS AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF ZINC.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	comprehensive and to the point	Ok
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript consist of fifteen different treatments/combinations to study, it concluded that the best growth and yield was obtained by the combination of highest doses of treatments. At some places author is confuse to describe the role of theses combination and even mix-up the treatment value, which divert the results.	The manuscript has been updated as per the suggestion.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Some reference are missing in text while present in manuscript	Updated
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need improvement	Ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The theme of research is very appealing but the author is unable to present it in a well-mannered write-up. There are lot of places in the manuscript, where author is confused to represent the true results as mentioned in the tables. Tables are also lacking statistical values.	Updated

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	