

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126185
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANIC NUTRIENTS AND ZINC BIOFORTIFICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SPONGE GOURD ( <i>Luffa cylindrica</i> L.) CV. MAHY HARITA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical area of research concerning the socio-economic determinants influencing the adoption of sustainable agricultural techniques in Burkina Faso. It contributes valuable insights into how local conditions affect the uptake of sustainable practices, which is crucial for enhancing food security and environmental sustainability. I appreciate the manuscript's focus on both socio-economic and cultural factors, providing a holistic view of the challenges and opportunities in this context. However, I believe it could benefit from a deeper analysis of specific case studies or examples.	Thank you for the appreciation
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title may not fully encapsulate the scope of the research. I suggest an alternative title such as “Socio-Economic Factors Influencing the Adoption of Sustainable Agricultural Practices in Burkina Faso: A Comprehensive Analysis.”	Thank you for suggesting an effective title
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good overview of the research aims and findings but could be improved by including specific methodologies and key results. I recommend adding a brief mention of the methods used for data collection and analysis, as well as highlighting the most significant findings to give readers a clearer understanding of the study's contributions.	Noted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is generally well-structured, with clearly defined subsections that facilitate reader comprehension	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates a solid foundation of scientific correctness, employing rigorous methodologies and drawing on relevant theoretical frameworks. The use of diverse data sources and analytical techniques strengthens the robustness of the finding	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references appear to be sufficient and mostly recent, providing a good context for the study.	Ok
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the manuscript is generally suitable for scholarly communication, though some sentences could benefit from rephrasing for clarity	Updated
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	