

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_126829
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil physico-chemical responses to integrated nutrient management in Lentil intercropped with Bhimal (Grewia optiva) in Agroforestry vs. open systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	First of all, this manuscript needs a lot of improvement, and the importance, objective, and hypothesis should have been clearer. The topic is very important for the future perspective also. If the authors may follow the whole improvement that I mentioned in the attached file, then it will be impressive for the whole scientific community.	We have incorporated all the comments and suggestions received.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. A lot of improvement is needed for this abstract. Please go through with my attached file.	Improvement done
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Yes
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I don't know why the authors don't use a graph instead of a table. A lot of modification is needed before publication.	The graph for the said data is complex and it will create more complexity in the graphical representation. So we have given it in simple way to understand the figures.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. A lot of references are needed. Please follow the attached file.	Improvement done
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Yes
Optional/General comments	Please follow the attached file.	All the comments have been incorporated.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No