

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_126829
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil physico-chemical responses to integrated nutrient management in Lentil intercropped with Bhimal (Grewia optiva) in Agroforestry vs. open systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- India considered the "cancer capital of the world." due to the increase is partly attributed to health risks associated with nitrate exposure from extensive chemical fertilizer use in agriculture.</li><li>- Important of sustainabale agriculture by using organic fertilizers.</li><li>- Organic fertilizer saves about 75% of chemical fertilizer.</li></ul>	This section is incorporated in the manuscript.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Yes
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Yes
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The manuscript followed the ethics of scientific research.</li><li>- The goal was clear.</li><li>- The results of the manuscript achieved the goal.</li></ul>	The manuscript is scientifically and technically sound.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference was recent and sufficient	Yes, more references have been added after revision for making the manuscript more effective.
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it was perfect	Yes
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Add fer to the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph of introduction</li><li>- Mention the two years in material section</li></ul>	Improvement done in the manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No