Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_123657
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrients and Antioxidant composition of Local Condiment "Ogiri" Produced from Different Leguminous Seeds
Type of the Article	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author(s) were able to bring to limelight, the potentials of other leguminous seeds as raw materials for production of local Nigerian condiments. It was however deduced from the manuscripts that the author(s) did a very poor work based on their inability to specifically and accurately report the processing steps and methods of analysis adopted. Hence, it might be very difficult to replicate and confirm the findings of the study by other researchers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluation of Nutrients and Antioxidant Composition of <i>Ogiri</i> Produced from Selected Leguminous Seeds.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract to some extent is comprehensive and the overall objectives of the study were generally covered. However, some points to be added and deleted were indicated in the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript were appropriately arranged although some of the subsections were omitted especially in the methods of analysis.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author(s) described the qualitative method of steroid determination and gave a quantitative value as the results. Similarly, the method of terpenoid determination was not indicated under methods of analysis but the results showed results for terpenoid content of the samples. Alkaloid and flavonoid contents were also discussed but their methods of determination and results did appear anywhere in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent; however, i doubt the author(s) followed the stipulated guidelines of the journal as there are several inconsistencies in the style of referencing adopted.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is 55% suitable based on my personal rating. The author(s) did not justifiably interpret their methods of product development and analysis as well as their key findings. The English quality of the results and discussion is not too suitable	
Optional/General comments	The author(s) should proofread the manuscript to correct typographical errors and punctuation mistakes. The entire work should also be reported in past tense. This will enhance the quality and the readability of the paper. The author(s) should consider revising some sections especially the methods, results and discussion to improve the clarity and organization of the paper.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okpata, Echezona Charles
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)