Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_126692**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Relationship Between Eczema and Asthma Control in Atopic Versus Non-Atopic Asthma Patients**
Type of the Article	**Research**

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the author has collected and compiled the clinical data of 120 charts of Asthma patients at the Asthma centre and analysed them. The results may be helpful for the clinicians to improve the effectiveness of comprehensive asthma management in this high-risk population, regardless of initial eczema status.	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	The title "The Relationship Between Eczema and Asthma Control in Atopic Versus Non-Atopic Asthma Patients" is suitable in view of the contents incorporated.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive as well as concise. Rationale, Methods, Results and Conclusion are appropriately presented in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are appropriate and presented in a structured manner. Background, Methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusion are divided and presented in proper subsections.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. The language used is scientific. Also the analysis of data is done using proper scientific tools.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I recommend to add few latest references as most of the references are older ones. Also confirm the referencing style as per the policy of journal.	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The tables (Table 1 and Table 2) are incorporated as Image forms. I recommend to incorporate them as regular form (if journal policy allow the same). The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Manish Kumar Gupta**
Department, University & Country	**Jaipur School of Pharmacy, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, India**