

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_126692
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship Between Eczema and Asthma Control in Atopic Versus Non-Atopic Asthma Patients
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the author has collected and compiled the clinical data of 120 charts of Asthma patients at the Asthma centre and analysed them. The results may be helpful for the clinicians to improve the effectiveness of comprehensive asthma management in this high-risk population, regardless of initial eczema status.	Data and results have been checked.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "The Relationship Between Eczema and Asthma Control in Atopic Versus Non-Atopic Asthma Patients" is suitable in view of the contents incorporated.	Title was checked and revised.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive as well as concise. Rationale, Methods, Results and Conclusion are appropriately presented in the abstract.	The abstract and its presentation of Rationale, Methods, Results and Conclusion were checked.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are appropriate and presented in a structured manner. Background, Methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusion are divided and presented in proper subsections.	Subsections, Background, Methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusion were checked.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. The language used is scientific. Also the analysis of data is done using proper scientific tools.	Analysis of data was checked.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I recommend to add few latest references as most of the references are older ones. Also confirm the referencing style as per the policy of journal.	Recent references from the literature (references 26 and 27) have been added. Reference style has been confirmed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The tables (Table 1 and Table 2) are incorporated as Image forms. I recommend to incorporate them as regular form (if journal policy allow the same).  The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	These tables have been incorporated in regular form.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues in manuscript